

One Is Too Many, A Thousand Is Not Enough

Deep Dives · The Recovery Files

Understanding the Paradox of Addiction

Recovery Made Simple — The Recovery Files

One Is Too Many · Control lost at the first use · 1000 Is Not Enough · Craving is never satisfied

In this workbook

- Part 1 · Understanding the Paradox: One Is Too Many, A Thousand Is Not Enough
 - Check-in: All-or-Nothing Reality
 - Exercise: Personal Evidence Inventory
- Part 2 · Why One Is Too Many: The Neuroscience of Lost Control
 - Check-in: Control Illusion
 - Exercise: The Voice of "Just One"
- Part 3 · Why A Thousand Is Not Enough: The Bottomless Nature of Craving
 - Check-in: Craving Insatiability
 - Exercise: Insatiability Timeline
- Part 4 · The Threshold: Where Control Is Actually Lost
 - Check-in: Threshold Awareness
 - Check-in: Relapse Prevention Application
- Part 5 · Dismantling the Satisfaction Myth: Why "Enough" Never Comes
 - Check-in: Satisfaction Mythology
 - Check-in: Recovery Integration

Each reading is followed by its check-in questions and exercises, in the same order as the app. Your scored results are shown in the app.

PART 1 OF 5 · READING

Understanding the Paradox: One Is Too Many, A Thousand Is Not Enough

The AA saying "one is too many, a thousand is not enough" is one of the most important statements in all of recovery literature. It captures, in a single sentence, the dual nature of addiction — the impossibility of controlled use and the insatiability of addictive craving.

The paradox operates on two levels simultaneously. The first half — "one is too many" — addresses the question of control. For a person with addiction, one drink, one hit, one pill, one use of any kind is too many because it reactivates the entire neurological cascade of the disease. One is not a manageable quantity. One is the detonator that sets off the explosion.

The second half — "a thousand is not enough" — addresses the question of satisfaction. Even if the person with addiction could somehow manage to have "just one," it would not satisfy the craving. A thousand would not satisfy the craving. No amount would satisfy the craving.

Together, these two truths create a complete picture: you cannot use safely (one is too many) AND using will never give you what you are seeking (a thousand is not enough).

This is not a message of hopelessness — it is a message of radical clarity. Once you truly understand that the substance cannot be used safely AND cannot deliver what it promises, the rational foundation for return to use collapses completely.

Understanding this principle at the deepest possible level — not just intellectually but in the marrow of your recovery identity — is one of the most powerful protections against relapse available.

Reflection Questions

1. When you first heard the saying "one is too many, a thousand is not enough," what was your reaction? Has your understanding changed?

2. Which half of the paradox is harder for you to accept — that one is too many or that a thousand is not enough? Why?

3. Can you recall a specific time when you proved this principle true in your own life?

4. Do you still have moments where the disease whispers "just one"? What does that voice sound like?

5. If you truly, deeply accepted both halves of this principle, how would that change your daily experience of recovery?

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 1 OF 7

All-or-Nothing Reality

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. I have genuinely accepted that moderation is not a viable option for me — not now, and not ever

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I recognize that every attempt I made at "controlled use" eventually led back to the same destructive patterns

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I understand that my brain processes substances fundamentally differently than a non-addicted person's brain

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. I have stopped entertaining the idea that someday I might be able to use "normally"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I can clearly see that for me, the choice is binary: complete abstinence or eventual return to full-blown addiction

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. I accept that the concept of "just one" is as dangerous as the concept of "a thousand"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. I understand that my relationship with substances is permanently altered and cannot be reset

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I no longer spend mental energy trying to figure out how to use "safely"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

YOUR EXERCISE

Personal Evidence Inventory

Proving the Paradox from Your Own History

Why This Matters

The most powerful evidence for 'one is too many, a thousand is not enough' is in your own history. This exercise creates an undeniable personal record.

Evidence That "One Is Too Many"

Document specific times when you intended to have "just one" — and what actually happened.

Incident #1

What was your plan? ("Just one," "only on weekends," etc.)

What actually happened?

Incident #2

What was your plan? ("Just one," "only on weekends," etc.)

What actually happened?

Incident #3

What was your plan? ("Just one," "only on weekends," etc.)

What actually happened?

Incident #4

What was your plan? ("Just one," "only on weekends," etc.)

What actually happened?

Incident #5

What was your plan? ("Just one," "only on weekends," etc.)

What actually happened?

PART 2 OF 5 · READING

Why One Is Too Many: The Neuroscience of Lost Control

Understanding why "one is too many" requires understanding what happens in the addicted brain when it encounters even a small amount of the substance. This is not a matter of willpower, moral fiber, or personal strength. It is a matter of neurobiology.

In a non-addicted brain, the prefrontal cortex functions as a reliable governor. When a non-addicted person has one drink, the prefrontal cortex evaluates the situation and maintains control: "I've had one. That's enough."

In the addicted brain, chronic substance use has fundamentally altered this circuitry. The prefrontal cortex has been weakened. The brain's reward pathways have been sensitized to substance-related cues. This creates a dangerous asymmetry: the part of the brain that says "more" has been amplified, while the part that says "enough" has been diminished.

When a person with addiction takes that first drink or drug, this asymmetry activates immediately. The reward system fires at full intensity. The prefrontal cortex, already weakened, is further impaired by the substance itself. The result is a neurological cascade in which the desire for more escalates while the ability to resist simultaneously deteriorates.

This is why "just one" is a neurological impossibility for most people with addiction. You are not making a free choice after the first one. You are making a choice with a brain that has been chemically compromised by the very substance you are trying to control.

The only point at which you have genuine, uncompromised choice is before the first use. After that, you are operating with a neurologically compromised brain fighting against a neurologically amplified craving.

Reflection Questions

1. Does understanding the neuroscience of lost control change how you think about your past inability to "stop at one"?

2. Can you recall a specific time when you genuinely intended to have "just one" and the neurological cascade took over?

3. How does knowing that the prefrontal cortex is impaired by the very substance it needs to regulate change your view?

4. If the only point of genuine choice is BEFORE the first use, how does this focus your recovery efforts?

5. Does the disease ever try to use partial understanding of neuroscience against you?

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 2 OF 7

Control Illusion

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. I sometimes think I could probably handle "just one" drink or hit now that I have some recovery time

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I believe that recovery has fundamentally changed me enough that controlled use might now be possible

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I find myself planning scenarios in my mind where limited use might be "safe"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. I minimize or selectively forget the consequences of my past use while romanticizing the "good times"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I view my inability to control use as a personal failure of willpower rather than a fundamental symptom of the disease

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. I tell myself that my problem was really with one specific substance, and that I could safely use other substances

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. When I hear about someone who "successfully" returned to controlled use, I find myself thinking "maybe I could too"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I sometimes think the whole idea of powerlessness over substances is exaggerated

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

YOUR EXERCISE

The Voice of "Just One"

Learning the Disease's Sales Pitch Word for Word

Why This Matters

The disease almost never argues for a binge. It argues for one. It is patient, reasonable, and it knows your history better than anyone. A voice you can quote word for word loses most of its power — you hear it coming and recognize it as a sales pitch rather than a thought of your own.

Seven Scripts the Voice Uses

For each script, write when you have heard it — or a version of it in your own words — and what happened after you listened. If a script has never been yours, write "not mine" and move on.

"I've earned it."

The reward voice. Shows up after a hard week, a promotion, a win.

"I've been clean long enough — I can handle it now."

The recovery-as-cure voice. Uses your sobriety time as the argument for ending it.

"Just one, to take the edge off."

The medicine voice. Offers relief from a feeling you have not named yet.

"Nobody would ever know."

The secrecy voice. Treats getting caught as the only real consequence.

"It's a special occasion."

The exception voice. A wedding, a funeral, a holiday, a trip — the calendar is full of them.

"It's not my drug."

The substitution voice. Beer instead of liquor, weed instead of pills — a different substance, the same disease. (Medication prescribed as part of your recovery and taken as directed is not this voice.)

"I'll start again tomorrow."

The reset voice. Makes today free by promising a tomorrow that pays for it.

The Transcript

1. Write the voice at its most persuasive, in its own words — the exact sentences it uses on you when it is winning. Do not argue with it yet. Just take it down.

2. Now write what the voice leaves out. It talks about the first one. What does it never mention — the second, the tenth, the next morning, the people who find out?

3. Look back at your Personal Evidence Inventory (Exercise 1). Write your reply to the voice as someone who has read that evidence. Keep it short enough to say out loud.

4. Whose voice does it sound like? Your own, a parent, an old using friend, a version of you from years ago? What does that tell you?

When the Voice Gets Loud

Time of day or day of the week

Places and routes

Feelings — including good ones

People, or being alone

The earliest sign the voice is warming up, before it ever says "just one"

My Response Plan

The Only Window

Choice lives before the first one, not after it. A response plan is only useful if it is decided now, while the voice is quiet, and used the moment it starts talking.

1. The one sentence I will say back to the voice, out loud if I can:

2. The person I will contact within the hour when I hear it — name and number:

3. Play the tape to the end: where does "just one" actually finish for me? Write the last scene, not the first.

4. What I will do with the next thirty minutes instead:

PART 3 OF 5 · READING

Why A Thousand Is Not Enough: The Bottomless Nature of Craving

If the first half of the paradox explains why you cannot use safely, the second half explains why using would not give you what you want even if you could. "A thousand is not enough" captures one of addiction's most fundamental truths: the substance cannot deliver the satisfaction it promises.

To understand why a thousand is not enough, you need to understand what addiction does to the brain's satisfaction system. In a healthy brain, the reward circuit operates on a cycle: desire → action → reward → satisfaction → rest.

Addiction breaks this cycle at the satisfaction stage. Chronic substance use downregulates the dopamine receptors that register satisfaction. With fewer receptors and reduced sensitivity, the satisfaction signal becomes progressively weaker. You experience desire with full intensity, but the reward is muted, delayed, or entirely absent.

The result is a system stuck in perpetual "wanting" that can never fully arrive at "having." You want. You use. You get a brief, diminishing flash of reward. But the deeper signal — "I am satisfied, I can rest" — never comes.

This is why the experience of active addiction is characterized not by pleasure but by the exhausting, frantic pursuit of pleasure that remains always just out of reach.

The insatiability also manifests in tolerance — the need for progressively larger amounts to achieve progressively smaller effects. The trajectory is always the same: more substance, less satisfaction.

Understanding this truth is profoundly liberating. If the substance cannot deliver what it promises, then there is nothing to mourn in giving it up. You are not sacrificing pleasure. You are releasing a futile pursuit.

Reflection Questions

1. When you think about your active addiction, can you identify the experience of using more and more while feeling less and less?

2. Can you recall the moment when you realized that the substance had stopped delivering what it originally provided?

3. How did tolerance manifest in your addiction? Can you trace the progression?

4. If the substance creates the very craving it pretends to satisfy, what does that reveal about the "relief" it provides?

5. Since entering recovery, have you experienced moments of genuine satisfaction? What was the source?

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 3 OF 7

Craving Insatiability

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. When I look honestly at my history, I can see that I always wanted more regardless of how much I had already consumed

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I recognize that the feeling of "enough" or genuine satisfaction was never truly achieved through substance use

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I experienced the phenomenon of feeling empty or unsatisfied almost immediately after using

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. I can identify times when I was physically unable to consume more but still felt the craving for more

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I understand that my craving was never truly satisfied by substances — it was only temporarily quieted

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. I recognize that the "hole" I was trying to fill with substances was bottomless

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. I can see that over time, I needed increasing amounts just to achieve diminishing effects

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I understand that the insatiable nature of my craving is a neurobiological reality, not a personal moral failing

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

YOUR EXERCISE

Insatiability Timeline

More Went In, Less Came Out

A Thousand Is Not Enough

The second half of the saying is not about quantity. It is about the fact that enough never arrives. This timeline lays your use out stage by stage so you can see two lines moving in opposite directions: the amount going up, and what it gave you going down.

Stage 1: The Beginning

First use and the early days, when it still worked the way you hoped.

Roughly when — your age or the years

How much, and how often?

What did it give you at this stage?

How long before you wanted more?

What did "enough" look like — and did you ever reach it?

Stage 2: Regular Use

When it became a habit, a reward, a way of coping.

Roughly when — your age or the years

How much, and how often?

What did it give you at this stage?

How long before you wanted more?

What did "enough" look like — and did you ever reach it?

Stage 3: Escalation

More, more often, stronger, earlier in the day.

Roughly when — your age or the years

How much, and how often?

What did it give you at this stage?

How long before you wanted more?

What did "enough" look like — and did you ever reach it?

Stage 4: Using to Feel Normal

When it stopped giving much of anything and started mostly preventing the crash.

Roughly when — your age or the years

How much, and how often?

What did it give you at this stage?

How long before you wanted more?

What did "enough" look like — and did you ever reach it?

The Lines I Said I Would Never Cross

Most people start with rules: never in the morning, never alone, never at work, never before driving, never that drug. Write each rule you once had and when it fell.

Line #1

Line #2

Line #3

Line #4

Line #5

Reading My Timeline

A Safety Fact, Not a Scare Tactic

The craving picks up where it left off. The body does not. Tolerance drops during time without using, so going back to an old amount after a period of abstinence is when overdose risk is highest. If the voice ever wins, this is the fact to remember first.

1. At what stage did the amount you used stop producing the feeling it once did? What did you do about that?

2. Was there ever a time you stopped because you were satisfied — not because you ran out, passed out, ran out of money, or had to be somewhere? Describe it, or write honestly that there was not.

3. Put the four stages side by side. What does the direction of travel tell you about what "just one" would lead to now?

4. Recovery has not reset the timeline — it has paused it. Where on this timeline would you most likely pick up if you used again?

PART 4 OF 5 · READING

The Threshold: Where Control Is Actually Lost

One of the most critical insights embedded in "one is too many, a thousand is not enough" is the understanding of where control is actually lost.

The popular imagination pictures the person with addiction losing control somewhere in the middle of a binge — the third drink, the fifth hit. But this picture is fundamentally wrong. For the person with addiction, control is not lost during use. Control is lost the moment the decision to use is made.

The threshold is the first one. Everything after that is the inevitable consequence.

This understanding matters enormously because it determines where you focus your recovery efforts. If you believe control is lost somewhere during use, your strategy will focus on controlling your intake. These strategies are doomed to fail because they place the intervention after the point of no return.

If you understand that control is lost at the first use, then your recovery strategy focuses on the one moment where genuine choice actually exists: the moment before the first use.

Understanding the threshold also reveals the nature of relapse. Relapse does not happen when you find yourself drunk or high. Relapse happens in the moment you decide to take the first one. Often, that decision has been building for hours, days, or weeks through a series of smaller compromises.

The practical implication is clear: protect the threshold. Everything that increases the distance between you and the first use is recovery. Everything that decreases that distance is relapse behavior.

Reflection Questions

1. When you look at your history of relapse or near-relapse, can you identify the "threshold moment"?

2. How did you previously think about where control was lost? Has this reading changed your understanding?

3. What "relapse behaviors" — the steps that lead to the threshold — do you recognize in your own patterns?

4. What decisions in your current life increase or decrease the distance between you and the threshold?

5. If you were to design your recovery plan around "protecting the threshold," what would you change?

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 4 OF 7

Threshold Awareness

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. I clearly understand that the point of no return is the first use — not the second, fifth, or tenth

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I recognize that once I take the first drink or drug, the decision-making part of my brain is compromised

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I understand that the most dangerous moment in relapse is the decision to take "just one"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. I can identify that the window of genuine choice exists only before the first use, not during or after

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I recognize that the disease of addiction activates fully with any use, regardless of the amount

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. I understand that "I'll just have one" is the most dangerous sentence in my vocabulary

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. I have accepted that my ability to "stop after one" is an illusion maintained by the disease itself

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I use this threshold awareness to focus my recovery efforts where they actually matter: preventing that first use

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 5 OF 7

Relapse Prevention Application

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. My recovery plan is built on the foundational reality that any use leads to loss of control

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I use the "one is too many" principle to guide my decisions about where I go and who I spend time with

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I have specific strategies in place for when the "just one" thought arises

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. I understand that my greatest vulnerability is not the craving for a thousand — it is the rationalization for one

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I regularly assess whether my understanding of this principle is deepening or eroding over time

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. I recognize that time in recovery can create a false sense of security

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. I use this principle to maintain appropriate humility about my relationship with substances

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I treat any thought of "just one" as a relapse warning sign that requires immediate action

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

PART 5 OF 5 · READING

Dismantling the Satisfaction Myth: Why "Enough" Never Comes

Every person with addiction has, at some point, believed a version of the satisfaction myth: "If I could just have enough, I would be satisfied."

The myth takes many forms. "If I could drink without consequences, I would be happy." "If I had unlimited access, I would find the sweet spot." "The problem isn't the substance — it's the limitations on my use."

This mythology is extraordinarily seductive because it feels intuitively correct. After all, in every other domain of life, having enough of something produces satisfaction.

But addiction does not work this way. The satisfaction myth fails because addiction is not a normal appetite operating normally. It is a hijacked appetitive system in which the satisfaction signal has been disabled.

The satisfaction myth is also maintained by selective memory. The disease of addiction is remarkably skilled at curating memories. It files the first drink of the evening under "pleasure" while deleting the blackout, the argument, the shame.

Dismantling the satisfaction myth is essential for long-term recovery because as long as you believe that enough substance could produce enough satisfaction, the door to return to use remains conceptually open.

When you dismantle this myth, something remarkable happens: the perceived loss of giving up substances shrinks dramatically. If the substance was never capable of delivering genuine satisfaction, then you have not given up satisfaction. You have given up the pursuit of a satisfaction that was never available through that channel.

Reflection Questions

1. What version of the satisfaction myth have you believed? What did you tell yourself about what "enough" would look like?

2. Can you trace the progression of the myth in your own addiction?

3. How does selective memory operate in your addiction? What "curated memories" does the disease present?

4. If you honestly examine your most intense periods of use, was satisfaction actually present?

5. What sources of genuine satisfaction have you discovered in recovery?

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 6 OF 7

Satisfaction Mythology

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. I have dismantled the belief that if I just had enough of the substance, I would have finally been satisfied

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I recognize that the problem was never limited access or quantity — the problem was the insatiable nature of addiction itself

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I no longer attribute my dissatisfaction in active addiction to having to control or limit my use

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. I understand that unlimited, unrestricted access to my substance would not have produced satisfaction — only escalation

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I can see that I spent years chasing a feeling of "enough" that the substance was neurologically incapable of providing

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. I recognize that the promise of satisfaction was addiction's most effective lie

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. I understand that genuine satisfaction comes from recovery, not from substances

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I have stopped believing that something was "wrong" with my using that could have been fixed with more substance

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

CHECK YOURSELF · CHECK-IN 7 OF 7

Recovery Integration

Scale: 1 = Not at all · 2 = A little · 3 = Somewhat · 4 = Quite a bit · 5 = Very much

1. I regularly reflect on the principle "one is too many, a thousand is not enough" as part of my ongoing recovery practice

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

2. I can explain to another person — clearly and convincingly — why complete abstinence is necessary for me

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

3. I use this understanding to evaluate whether situations, relationships, or environments are safe for my recovery

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

4. This principle is deeply integrated into my recovery identity

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

5. I do not romanticize past use or entertain fantasies of "controlled return"

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

6. When thoughts of "just one" arise, I immediately recognize them as the voice of addiction

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

7. I actively share this understanding with others as part of my service work

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

8. I recommit regularly to this foundational understanding rather than assuming it is permanently settled

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5